

## PROJECT SCHEDULE



- Final iopor


## LEARNING FROM BEST PRACTICES IN THE WORLD



## OUR APPROACH

1. Multimodal Transportation Planning
2. Collaborative Creativity and Problem-Solving
3. Travel Demand and Operational Modeling
4. Urban Design

## MULTIMODAL TRANSPORTATION PLANNING

- Putting the user first
- Implementing complete streets standards for safe, continuous environments for all modes
- Coordinating service and infrastructure improvements


Capacity neutral bicycle and pedestrian integration in Northampton (MA)

## WHAT ARE STREETS FOR?



Movement

- Moving people
- Moving vehicles


## Social Interaction

- A place to meet
- A place for kids to play
- A place to eat, drink, shop
- A place to protest


## Storage

- Parking
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## STREETS FOR CARS
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## STREETS FOR BICYCLES



## STREETS FOR EXCHANGE



Streets are places for kids to play...

## STREETS FOR EXCHANGE


...to eat and drink...

## STREETS FOR EXCHANGE


www.sftravel.com
...to shop and browse merchants' wares...

## STREETS FOR EXCHANGE

...and sell your own wares...


## STREETS FOR EXCHANGE


... and to have unexpected encounters.
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## REALIZING THE IMPACTS OF CARS



From Donald Appleyard (1970), "Livable Streets", University of California Press

Donald Appleyard (1970) studied social networks on three streets Heavy, Medium and Light traffic

- Light traffic - residents had an average of 3.0 friends and 6.3 acquaintances on the street
- Medium traffic - 1.3 friends and 4.1 acquaintances
- Heavy traffic - 0.9 friends and 3.1 acquaintances
- Sidewalk activity, sense of community and size of perceived 'territory' all greater on Light street
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You are here: Home > Residents > Traffic \& Parking Studies

## Traffic \& Parking Studies



Residents are invited to attend one of two community workshops on the mobility study being conducted that will focus on reducing traffic and parking burdens within the Town. Please attend the meeting to contribute your thoughts, and help craft plans on the this important traffic study focused on protecting the great qualities of Highland Park.

Monday, December 9, 2013, 4-7 p.m.
Tuesday, December 10, 2013, 8 - 10 a.m.
Meetings will be held in the Town Council Chamber/Town Hall - 4300 MacArthur Avenue

## We Want To Hear From You!

## Give Us Your Input on the Traffic Study

## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS


... cut through neighborhood streets

.. keep cars out of my neighborhood

## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS


...cut through neighborhood streets

... keep cars out of my neighborhood

## 16\%

## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS


...slower and crossable

... flowing traffic/bigger streets

## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS


...slower and crossable
81\%

... flowing traffic/bigger streets

19\%
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## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS



Walkable, with congestion


Remove congestion, walkability declines

## 71\%

29\%

## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS



Regional bypass


Neighborhood streets

## VISUAL PREFERENCE SURVEY RESULTS



Regional bypass
50\%


Neighborhood streets 50\%

## WHAT IS IMPORTANT TO YOU?



This is not an issue for me
Unimportant
$\square$ Important
$\square$ Very Unimportant
Neither Important or Unimportant
$\square$ Very Important
neighborhood street
Difficulty finding parking in Highland

Truck traffic
$\square$ This is not an issue for me
$\square$ Unimportant
$\square$ Important

Intersection delays
near HPV

uo eunjos
Mockingbird Ln
Traffic volume on my neighborhood street
crossing．．．
street
uo spəəds ગ！⿰丬士ユ！
pooчıоqчб̈əә Ku
street


## ONLINE WIKIMAPING COMMENTS










## Traffic \& Intersection Issues



## ARMSTRONG:MOCKINGBIBE

## PRESTON \& MOCKINGBIRD

ARMSTRONG @ MB PRESTON @ MB
Delays for left turns onto MB

## Delays turning left





## MOCKINGBJRD LANE





WB ARMSTRONG




WB ARMSTRONG
Long inefficient light
LT onto Preston long queues
Limited turn capacity due to on-street parking
Traffic dangerous for bike/ped connection to Katy Trail
Add traffic circle



## Traffic \& Intersection Issues



Safety, Bicycle \& Pedestrian Issues
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## AIRLINE \& MOC SINGBI胢

## Intersection safety




## 

## Intersection safety

Intersection safety


YIELD


PRESTON ROAD
rack of Sidewaliks.

## a



Safety, Bicycle \& Pedestrian Issues


## Traffic Movement \& Cut-Through Issues



## ARMSTRONG \& PRESTON



Left-Turn Delay
Queues Pedestrian Satew

## Traffic Movement \& Cut-Through Issues



Parking Issues


## HIGHLAND PARK VILLAGE

Spillover Parking




## ABBOTT \& SEW



Parking Issues


Accessibility \& Land Use Issues



## SMU

## SMU <br> More density soon

Accessibility \& Land Use Issues



Average Daily Traffic Volumes in Higland Park and Surroundings (Bi-Directional Except Freeways)





## MEASURING SUCCESS

## To a driver: LOS A LOS F <br> To an economist LOS F <br> LOS A



## PREVIOUS PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- System wide measures
- Single-occupant vehicle use
- High occupant vehicle mode split to selected employment sites
- Transit management measures, route effectiveness
- Intersections and street segment
- Vehicle Level of Service (LOS) A - F
- Volume / Capacity (V/C) ratio

- Shortcomings:
- Spot locations fail to assess overall transportation network
- Vehicular bias reduces total transportation efficiency \& effectiveness
- Optimization for cars worsens street performance for transit
- No mechanism for balancing competing modes


## MEASURING SUCCESS



## WHY PLAN FOR OTHER MODES?



## WHY PLAN FOR OTHER MODES?



People in Cars

## SO YOU CAN DRIVE



People on a bus

NELSON
NYGAARD

## SO YOU CAN DRIVE



People on bikes

## SOME PERFORMANCE MEASURES

- Eliminate vehicle delay and substitute person delay
- Eliminate Level of Service and substitute Quality of Service ...for all modes of travel



## OVERBUILT STREETS CAUSE SPEEDING






## PLANNING FOR PEDESTRIAN SAFETY: PRINCIPLES

## Risk of Pedestrian Fatality



Leaf, W. and Preusser, D. Literature Review on Vehicle Travel Speeds and Pedestrian Injuries Among Selected Racial/Ethnic Groups, NHTSA (USA), 1999.
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## WIDE STREETS ARE LESS SAFE

## Street Width and Injury Accident Rate

4th Order Polynomial (R^2 0.52)


## TAKING A ROAD DIET



Before Conversion to Road Diet

## SEATTLE CONVERSIONS (4 TO 3 LANE)

| Roadway | Date | ADT | ADT | Collision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Location | Change | Before | After | Reduction |
| Greenwood Ave N |  |  |  | 24 to 10 |
| N 80th St to N 50th |  | 118 | 124 | 58\% |
| N 45th Street | Dec-72 | 19421 | 20274 | 45 to 23 |
| Wallingford Area | Dec-72 | 19421 | 20274 | 49\% |
| 8th Ave NW | Jan-94 | 10549 | 11858 | 18 to 7 |
| Ballard Area | Jan-94 | 10549 | 11858 | 61\% |
| Martin Luther King Jr W |  |  |  | 15 to 6 |
| North of I 90 | Jan-94 | 12336 | 13161 | 60\% |
| Dexter Ave N |  |  |  | 19 to 16 |
| Queen Ann Area | Jun-91 | 1360 | 14949 | $59 \%$ |
| 24th Ave NW | Oct-95 | 9727 | 9754 | $\begin{gathered} 14 \text { to } 10 \\ 28 \% \end{gathered}$ |
| NW 85th to NW 65th |  |  |  |  |

## ONE SQUARE MILE



One Square Mile, Contemporary development pattern, Irvine, CA


One Square Mile, Traditional development pattern, Portland OR
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## ARLINGTON VA



NYGAARD


## ARLINGTON CORRIDOR VS. FAIRFAX COUNTY

39,500 daily boardings
Other
Auto (incl. Drop-off)

## 29,250 daily boardings



Source: WMATA May 2002 weekday Metrorail ridership and access data

## PROJECT SCHEDULE

| task description | 2013 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2014 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | November |  |  |  | December |  |  |  | January |  |  |  |  | February |  |  |  | March |  |  |  | April |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 a | 4 | 11 | 18 | 25 | 2 | 8 | 16 | 23 | 30 | 6 | 13 | 20 | 27 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 24 | 31 | 7 | 14 | 21 | 28 |
| 1. Project Management Plan / |  | x. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Public Participation Plan / |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Land Use Vison Revien of Land Use Plans A Polcies |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mobity Vivior Aelew of } \\ & \text { Existing Condtionst, Plans I } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Trwers Moder funs |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Development and Evaluation of Aternatives / Workshop 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | W2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Drah Pian Maps 8 Recommendations / Priortizution Workshop |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PW |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Draft Plan; impiementation S Financial Pran / Public Event |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | PE |  |  |  |  |
| - Final Plan Prortization S Action Plan |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\bullet$ |

- Final ropor


